

REMARKS

This Application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Final Action dated July 18, 2005. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and favorable action for this Application.

Claims 1-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Doshi, et al. in view of Forin. Independent Claims 1 and 6 recite in general an ability to send a data packet over a selected one of a plurality of channels and send a subsequent data packet over a different one of the plurality of channels. By contrast, the Doshi, et al. patent discloses only a single communication path 121 for transmission of packets. The Doshi, et al. patent merely discloses that the single communication path 121 may be a tandem transmit path 121 and receive path 122. Accordingly, the Doshi, et al. patent only supports the capability of having intermediate packet switches interconnected by data links along communication path 121 and in tandem along communication path 122. Thus, there is no disclosure in the Doshi, et al. patent that supports a capability to send a data packet over a selected one of a plurality of channels and send a subsequent data packet over a different one of the plurality of channels as required in the claimed invention. Moreover, the Forin patent does not include any additional material to offset the deficiencies of the Doshi, et al. patent. Independent Claims 11 and 15 recite in general the ability to receive a plurality of data packets in a non-sequential order over different ones of a plurality of channels. By contrast, as noted above, the Doshi, et al. patent receives all packets over the same communication path 122. Moreover, the Doshi, et al. patent transmits packets out in a sequential order for receipt over the communication path 122. Because all packets

are transmitted over the same path, the receiver of the Doshi, et al. patent receives packets in sequential order but only stores those packets that are valid in its buffer. Invalid packets would need to be retransmitted. Thus, the Doshi, et al. patent is not able to receive packets in a non-sequential order transmitted over a plurality of channels as required by the claimed invention. Moreover, the Forin patent does not include any additional material to offset the deficiencies of the Doshi, et al. patent. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 1-18 are patentably distinct from the proposed Doshi, et al. - Forin combination.

This Response to Examiner's Action is necessary to address the Examiner's characterization of the cited art in support of the rejections to the claims. This Response to Examiner's Final Action could not have been presented earlier as the Examiner has only now provided the instant characterization of the cited art in view of the pending claims.

CONCLUSION

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt to place the Application in condition for allowance. For the foregoing reasons and for other reasons clearly apparent, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and full allowance of all pending claims.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any amount required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-0378 of BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

Attorneys for Applicant

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Charles S. Fish', is written over the printed name.

Charles S. Fish

Reg. No. 35,870

September 19, 2005

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600

Dallas, TX 75201-2980

(214) 953-6507

Customer Number: 05073